UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSHUA TEITELBAUM, in the	*E-FILED - 9/20/06*
right of and for the benefit of	
Novellus Systems, Inc.	CASE NO. C 06 3514 RMW
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	STIPULATION AND
RICHARD S. HILL, JEFFREY C.	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
BENZING, D. JAMES GUZY,	
GLEN G. POSSLEY, J. DAVID	
LITSTER, TOM LONG, ROBERT	
H. SMITH, WILLIAM R. SPIVEY,	
NEIL R. BONKE, YOUSSEF A.	
EL MANSY, YOSHIO NISHI,	
ANN D. RHOADS, AND	
DELBERT A. WHITAKER, and	
NOVELLUS SYSTEMS, INC.	
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settleme appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16	any other form of ADR, must participate in an n. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process:	
Private ADR (please identify proc	ress and provider) Process to be determined.
referring the case to an ADR prod	
other requested deadline: after m	otion to dismiss is decided (date tbd)

Case 5:06-cv-03514-RMW Document 32 Filed 09/20/06 Page 2 of 3

Dated: August 18, 2006	/s/ Betsy C. Manifold	
	Attorney for Plaintiff	
Dated: <u>August 18, 2006</u>	/s/ Sunil Kulkarni	
	Attorney for Defendant	

ORDER

Pursua	ant to the Stipulation above, the captic Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR	oned matter is hereby referred to:	
Deadl □ [¾	ine for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order. other _after motion to dismiss is dec	ided (date tdd)	
IT IS SO OR	DERED.		
Dated:	9/20/06	/s/ Ronald M. Whyte UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE	_